

# BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

TERRELL C. BIRCH  
RAYMOND C. STEWART  
JOSEPH A. KOLASCH  
JAMES M. SLATTERY  
BERNARD L. SWEENEY\*  
MICHAEL K. MUTTER  
CHARLES GORENSTEIN  
GERALD M. MURPHY, JR  
LEONARD R. SVENSSON  
ANDREW D. MEIKLE  
MARC S. WEINER  
JOE McKINNEY MUNCY  
ROBERT J. KENNEY  
JOHN W. BAILEY  
MARK J. NUEL, PH D  
JAMES T. ELLER, JR  
D. RICHARD ANDERSON

OF COUNSEL:  
HERBERT M. BIRCH (1905-1996)  
ELLIOT A. GOLDBERG\*  
WILLIAM L. GATES\*  
EDWARD H. VALANCE  
RUPERT J. BRADY (RET)\*  
F. PRINCE BUTLER  
FRED S. WHISENHUNT  
JAMES W. HELLWEGE

\*ADMITTED TO A BAR OTHER THAN VA

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

J1059 U.S. PTO



02/01/02

8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD

SUITE 500 EAST

FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-1210

USA

(703) 205-8000

FAX: (703) 205-8050  
(703) 698-8590 (G IV)

e-mail: mailroom@bskb.com  
web: http://www.bskb.com

CALIFORNIA OFFICE  
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

SCOTT L. LOWE  
PAUL C. LEWIS  
RICHARD J. GALLAGHER  
JAYNE M. SAYDAH\*  
MARYANNE ARMSTRONG, PH D  
KECIA J. REYNOLDS  
ROBERT L. SCOTT, II  
KALPANA REDDY  
MARK E. OLDS  
ESTHER H. CHONG  
CATHERINE M. VOISINET\*  
EUGENE T. PEREZ

REG. PATENT AGENTS:  
FREDERICK R. HANDREN  
MAKI HATSUMI  
CRAIG A. McROBBIE  
GARTH M. DAHLEN, PH D.  
ROBERT E. GOOZNER, PH D  
KRISTI L. RUPERT, PH.D  
MATTHEW T. SHANLEY  
SUSAN W. GORMAN, PH.D  
DAVID J. CHO  
ROBERT W. DOWNS



Date: February 1, 2002

Docket No.: 0630-1423P

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box PATENT APPLICATION  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s): PARK, Kyeong Bae

For: METHOD FOR CORE LAMINATION IN MOTOR AND LAMINATION  
STRUCTURE THEREOF

Enclosed are:

- ☒ A specification consisting of 18 pages
- ☒ 8 sheet(s) of formal drawings
- ☒ An assignment of the invention - \$40.00 Recording Fee
- ☒ Certified copy of Priority Document(s)
- ☒ Executed Declaration ☒ Original ☐ Photocopy
- ☐ Applicant claims small entity status in accordance with 37 CFR 1.27
- ☐ Application Data Sheet in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.76

Preliminary Amendment

       Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449 and reference(s)

       Other \_\_\_\_\_

       Applicant requests early publication

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

			LARGE ENTITY		SMALL ENTITY	
FOR	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	FEE		RATE FEE
BASIC FEE	***** ***** *****	***** ***** *****	***** ***** *****	\$740.00	or	***** ***** ***** \$370.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	13 - 20 =	0	x18 = \$	0.00	or	x 9 = \$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT	2 - 3 =	0	x84 = \$	0.00	or	x 42 = \$ 0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED <u>no</u>			+280 = \$	0.00	or	+140 = \$ 0.00
			TOTAL \$ 740.00		TOTAL \$ 0.00	

  X   A check in the amount of \$ 780.00 to cover the filing fee and recording fee (if applicable) is enclosed.

       Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_. A triplicate copy of this transmittal form is enclosed.

       No fee is enclosed.

Please send correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP or Customer No. 2292  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747  
Telephone: (703) 205-8000

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By

James T. Ellert

JAMES T. ELLER, JR.

Reg. No. 39,538

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JTE/nv

Category	Value
1. General Information	
1.1 Name of the Project	Project A
1.2 Date of Report	2023-10-27
1.3 Author	John Doe
1.4 Version	1.0
2. Executive Summary	
2.1 Purpose	To analyze the impact of the new policy.
2.2 Scope	The study covers the period from January to December 2023.
2.3 Methodology	Quantitative analysis using survey data.
2.4 Results	The data shows a significant increase in efficiency.
2.5 Conclusion	The new policy is effective and should be implemented.
3. Introduction	
3.1 Background	The organization has been facing challenges in efficiency.
3.2 Problem Statement	Low efficiency and high costs are the main issues.
3.3 Objectives	To improve efficiency and reduce costs.
3.4 Significance	The study is important for the organization's success.
4. Literature Review	
4.1 Previous Studies	Several studies have shown the benefits of new policies.
4.2 Gaps in the Literature	There is a need for more research on the implementation of new policies.
4.3 Theoretical Framework	The study is based on the Theory of Change.
5. Methodology	
5.1 Research Design	Quantitative research design.
5.2 Data Collection	Surveys were distributed to employees.
5.3 Data Analysis	Statistical analysis was used to interpret the data.
5.4 Limitations	The study is limited by the sample size and the time period.
6. Results	
6.1 Descriptive Statistics	The average efficiency score was 85.
6.2 Inferential Statistics	The difference in efficiency scores is statistically significant.
6.3 Correlation Analysis	There is a positive correlation between the new policy and efficiency.
6.4 Regression Analysis	The regression model shows a strong positive relationship.
7. Discussion	
7.1 Interpretation of Results	The results support the hypothesis that the new policy improves efficiency.
7.2 Implications for Practice	The findings suggest that the new policy should be implemented.
7.3 Limitations and Future Research	Future research should explore the long-term effects of the policy.
8. Conclusion	
8.1 Summary of Findings	The study concludes that the new policy is effective.
8.2 Recommendations	Implement the new policy and monitor its impact.
8.3 Final Thoughts	The study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of the new policy.

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: PARK, Kyeong Bae

Application No.:

Group:

Filed: February 1, 2002

Examiner:

For: METHOD FOR CORE LAMINATION IN MOTOR AND LAMINATION  
STRUCTURE THEREOF

L E T T E R

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

February 1, 2002  
0630-1423P

Sir:

Under the provisions of 35 USC 119 and 37 CFR 1.55(a), the  
applicant hereby claims the right of priority based on the following  
application(s):

<u>Country</u>	<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Filed</u>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	2001/5120	02/02/01
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	2002/2320	01/15/02

A certified copy of the above-noted application(s) is(are)  
attached hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this,  
concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any  
overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees  
required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. 1.17; particularly,  
extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: James T. Eller, Jr.

JAMES T. ELLER, JR.

Reg. No. 39,538

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Attachment  
(703) 205-8000  
/nv